

REVIEW OF THE EAC REGIONAL HIV AND AIDS STRATEGY SEPT 2022

ELECTRONIC STRATEGIC PLAN EVALUATION TOOL TO BE FILLED IN BY HIV/AIDS STAKEHOLDERS

Are you a respondent from EAC Partner State or Regional level?

a) If from EAC Partner State, provide these details:

NAME OF THE PARTNER STATE: _____

NAME OF THE MINISTRY / AGENCY: _____

RESPONSE SUBMITTED BY: _____

POSITION / JOB TITLE: _____

EMAIL: _____

TELEPHONE NUMBER: _____

b) If from Regional level, please choose one:

- EAC Secretariat staff,
- UN staff,
- Staff of other Regional Organization

Also provide details of the Organization (Institution/Directorate/Department)

RESPONSE SUBMITTED BY: _____

POSITION / JOB TITLE: _____

EMAIL: _____

TELEPHONE NUMBER: _____

INTRODUCTION

This tool will assist to evaluate the EAC Regional HIV/AIDS Strategy 2015-2020 in order to assess how well it has been implemented and executed. The outcome of the review will assist the **EAC-SIDA Integrated Health Programme (EIHP)** in developing the revised EAC Regional strategic plan 2022-2026.

The review process will introduce new data and answer many questions, including:

- i. Trends in the HIV epidemiology
- ii. How much progress has EAC made towards the Key Results Area (KRA) / Intervention areas?
- iii. Are the Key Results Area / Intervention areas still relevant and effective to date for measuring progress towards the Objectives?
- iv. Were the KRA targets realistic, if no, why?
- v. Were there sufficient resources at regional and national levels to deliver incomplete Key Results Area / Intervention areas?
- vi. Which of the Strategic Objectives are no longer needed?
- vii. What were the key noticeable achievements of the EAC Regional HIV/AIDS Strategy 2015-2020?
- viii. What were the main challenges faced in implementation of the EAC Regional HIV/AIDS Strategy 2015-2020?
- ix. What are the key issues that are overtaken by events in the current EAC Regional HIV/AIDS strategy 2015-2020?
- x. What are key issues that should be retained and carried forward to the EAC Regional HIV/AIDS strategy 2022-2026?
- xi. What are your other general observations on the current EAC Regional HIV/AIDS strategy 2015-2020?
- xii. Which strategies would be a useful addition to the EAC Regional Priorities for 2022-2026 in the context of the changing HIV epidemic and HIV financing and governance context in your country?

Are you the Head the HIV/AIDS at your Partner State? If yes, fill in Q1, if no, skip go to Q2

Q1. Trends in the HIV epidemiology

Latest Information on HIV Impact in the Partner State (2021)		
CORE INDICATOR	VALUE	DATA SOURCE
Number of new HIV infections		
Percentage of people living with HIV (Prevalence)		
TB/HIV mortality rate per 100,000 population		
Number of AIDS-related deaths		
% of people living with HIV in the reporting period with suppressed viral loads (≤ 1000 copies/mL)		
Percent MTCT past 12 months		
95-95-95 for each major population and sub population depending on country context		
ART Coverage among:		
General Population		
Children 0-14		
AGYW		
Key Populations		

Q2. Perceived Performance of EAC Regional HIV/AIDS strategy 2015-2020 per Key Results Area (KRA) / Intervention areas

KRA No.	Key Results Area (KRA) / Intervention areas	Perceived Progress		
		(One response is needed to indicate either Green, Yellow or Red)		
		Good Progress (<i>targets achieved</i>)	More effort needed (<i>Satisfactory but targets not achieved</i>)	Lagging behind and extra efforts needed
KRA 1	Access to integrated, high quality HIV and AIDS, TB and STI services and commodities in the EAC regional improved			
KRA 2	Regional programs targeting mobile, vulnerable, and key populations established and implemented			
KRA 3	EAC research and knowledge management platform for evidence-based programming established			
KRA 4	A good governance, leadership and Stewardship framework established in the EAC region			
KRA 5	Regional alternative and sustainable financing models for HIV and AIDS, TB and STIs established			

Q3: In your opinion, are the Key Results Area (KRA) / Intervention areas still relevant and effective to date for measuring progress towards the Objectives? (Y/N) Choose

KRA1:

KRA2:

KRA3:

KRA4:

KRA5:

Q4: Were the KRA targets realistic?

KRA	Targets	Were the KRA targets realistic? (Y/N)	If no, Explain
KRA1: Access to integrated, high quality HIV and AIDS, TB and STI services and commodities in the EAC regional improved	1. Establishment of an integrated HIV, TB and STI commodity management system in the region by 2020		
	2. Access and utilization of integrated HIV, TB and STI services increased by 50% in 2020		
KRA2: Regional programs targeting mobile, vulnerable, and key populations established and implemented	1. 75% of Vulnerable Populations, Key Populations and Priority Populations access integrated services by 2020		
	2. Supportive legal and policy framework for service delivery for Key Populations and Vulnerable Populations established		
KRA3: EAC research and knowledge management platform for evidence-based programming established	1. Collaboration and partnerships on regional research on HIV, TB and STIs increased by 15% in 2020		
	2. Generation and use of regional evidence to inform regional policy and		

	programs enhanced through five systematic reviews and five policy primers by 2020		
KRA4: A good governance, leadership and Stewardship framework established in the EAC region	1. Implementation of all regional commitments and policies on HIV, TB and STIs in all 5 countries by 2020		
	2. A regional monitoring, coordination and accountability framework implemented by 2020		
KRA5: Regional alternative and sustainable financing models for HIV and AIDS, TB and STIs established	1. Domestic resources at regional and country levels increased by 30% in 2020		
	2. Allocative and technical efficiency at regional and country levels increased by 5% per annum		

Q5: Were there sufficient resources at regional and national levels to deliver incomplete Key Results Area (KRA) / Intervention areas?

.....

.....

Q6: In your opinion, which of the Strategic Objectives are still relevant and therefore should be considered in the new strategy that will be developed?

No.	Strategic Objective	Relevant? (Y/N)	If not relevant, Explain
1	To build effective capacity for efficient prevention and control measures for communicable and non-communicable diseases		
2	To put in place adequate policies and mechanisms aimed at improving demand, access, and quality of health services		
3	To promote health Sector Governance mechanisms in EAC Partners states so as to ensure optimal performance of the health sub-programs		
4	To improve service delivery for the EAC population through sufficient and qualified human resources		
5	To put in place an effective and sustainable health financing mechanisms in the East African region		
6	To ensure a sustainable and appropriate information systems for timely evidence-based decisions		
7	To build sufficient capacity for research and innovation for supporting evidence-based policy and intervention formulation		
8	To build a harmonized and well-coordinated regional M&E mechanism for health		

Q7: In your opinion, what were the key noticeable achievements of the EAC Regional HIV/AIDS Strategy 2015-2020?

- i.
- ii.
- iii.
- iv.
- v.

Q8: In your opinion, what were the main challenges faced in implementation of the EAC Regional HIV/AIDS Strategy 2015-2020?

- i.
- ii.
- iii.
- iv.

Q9: In your opinion, what are the key issues that are overtaken by events in the current EAC Regional HIV/AIDS strategy 2015-2020?

- i.
- ii.
- iii.
- iv.
- v.

Q10: In your opinion, what are other key issues that should be retained and carried forward to the EAC Regional HIV/AIDS strategy 2022-2026?

- i.
- ii.
- iii.
- iv.
- v.

Q11: What are your other general observations on the current EAC Regional HIV/AIDS strategy 2015-2020?

- i.
- ii.
- iii.
- iv.
- v.

Q12. In your opinion, which of the following strategies would be a useful addition to the EAC Regional Priorities for 2022-2026 in the context of the changing HIV epidemic and HIV financing and governance context in your country?

(Please mark as many as appropriate)

i	Maximizing equitable and equal access to HIV services and solutions in the EAC region	
ii	Breaking down barriers to achieving HIV outcomes in the EAC region	
iii	Fully resourcing and sustaining efficient HIV responses and integrating them into systems for health, social protection, humanitarian settings and pandemic responses	
iv	Strengthening Shared Health and Community Systems:	
	Integrated Regional Information Systems, Scorecards and Trackers	
	Leadership, Country Ownership	
	Health Financing Advocacy	
	Partnerships, multisectoral and collaboration.	
v	Human rights, gender equality and reduction of stigma and discrimination within cities, urban and rural areas, and other human settlements.	
vi	All of the above	
vii	None of the above	

SUBMISSION OF THE RESPONSE

Your submitted response will be sent to the Consultant Mr. Chris Alando through chrisalando@hotmail.com and to Andrew C. Kajeguka of EAC Health department through akajeguka@eachq.org

END